UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

----X

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

: 99-CR-1137 (ERK)

v.

: May 10, 2002

MARIO PLACENCIA, :

: Brooklyn, New York

Defendant. :

. -----X

TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR SENTENCING BEFORE THE HONORABLE EDWARD R. KORMAN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Government: LORETTA LYNCH, ESQ.

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
BY: NOAH PERLMAN, ESQ.
ASSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY
225 Cadman Plaza East
Brooklyn, New York 11201

For the Defendant: THOMAS DUNN, ESQ.

Audio Operator: CHRISTY CAROSELLA

Court Transcriber: ARIA TRANSCRIPTIONS

c/o Elizabeth Barron

31 Terrace Drive, 1st Floor

Nyack, New York 10960

(215) 767-7700

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service

```
THE CLERK: United States versus Mario Placencia.
1
              Please state your appearances for the record.
 2
              MR. PERLMAN:
                            Noah Perlman for the United States.
 3
                         Thomas Dunn for Mr. Placencia.
 4
              THE CLERK:
                         The interpreter is still under oath.
 5
    Please state your name for the record.
 6
 7
              THE INTERPRETER: For the record, Estrellita
    Plested, certified Spanish interpreter.
 8
              THE CLERK: The magistrate took the plea in this
 9
    case, Judge.
10
11
              THE COURT: Any reason why I should not accept the
12
    plea?
13
              MR. DUNN: No, your Honor, at least in my view,
14
    but my client advises me today that his recollection of the
15
    plea was that before any prospective penalties were
    discussed, he went to sleep. It's his position that even
16
17
    though there's a signed plea agreement, he never agreed to a
    sentence where he was going to get under the statute 240
18
19
    months.
20
              THE COURT: Let's order the transcript of the
21
    sentence.
                            The transcript of the plea?
22
              MR. PERLMAN:
23
              THE COURT: The plea, I'm sorry.
              (Pause in Proceedings)
24
25
              THE COURT: Look, the sentencing minutes are to my
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

mind -- I'm sorry, I don't know why I called them the sentencing minutes. First of all, he signed the plea agreement, which contained the sentencing estimate. two, the magistrate explained the terms of the plea agreement to him in terms of what the estimated sentence While she didn't, as far as I can see -- because she was taking two pleas at once, she didn't specifically say to him, do you understand that. Later on on page 27, when she talks about the waiver of the right to an appeal, she addresses Mr. Placencia specifically and says, "Mr. Placencia, your agreement contains a similar provision. You've agreed that as long as the government's estimate of 240 months is not exceeded, that you will not challenge your sentence. your lawyer is free to argue to Judge Korman that the government's calculation is wrong, that your total offense level is actually four levels less, 36 rather than 40, and the range would then be below 240 months. He can argue that but even if that's rejected, as long as your sentence does not exceed twenty years, you can't further challenge your conviction or sentence. Do you understand that?" Mr. Placencia says, "Yes." The notion that he was asleep during this is just simply ludicrous. MR. DUNN: Just for the record, your Honor, I previously, before that plea was entered with you, had gone

```
to MDC and reviewed the plea with him with a Spanish
1
    interpreter. Subsequently, we reviewed the presentence
 2
 3
    report with the Spanish interpreter as well.
              THE COURT: You also indicated that he basically
 4
 5
    -- in the plea minutes that he basically speaks fluent
    English and he simply needs help with some -- or was that
 6
 7
    the other lawyer?
 8
              MR. DUNN:
                         I think that was the other lawyer
 9
    because he speaks Spanish.
10
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
11
                         Also, he hasn't met with me lately.
              MR. DUNN:
                                                               Ι
12
    went to see him the other day.
13
              THE COURT: Did I adopt the recommendation?
14
              THE CLERK: Not yet.
15
                          I adopt the recommendation of the
              THE COURT:
16
    magistrate that the plea was knowingly and voluntarily
17
    entered by the defendant, with a full understanding of his
18
    rights and the consequences of the plea and that there is a
19
    factual basis for the plea. I therefore accept the plea of
20
    quilty.
21
              Just for my own information, what did he get for
22
    pleading quilty?
              MR. PERLMAN: What did he --
23
24
                          Get. In other words, what benefit did
              THE COURT:
25
    he get from pleading guilty?
```

```
MR. PERLMAN: The guideline range was 292 to 365
1
    months.
 2
              THE COURT:
                         I know, but the charge to which he
 3
 4
    pled has apparently a statutory maximum.
 5
              MR. PERLMAN: That was the advantage of pleading.
    In other words, the agreement, the benefit to the defendant
 6
 7
    was allowing him to plead to this charge.
              THE COURT: Oh, to this particular count.
 8
 9
              MR. PERLMAN:
                            Yes.
                         In other words, there were other
10
11
    counts in the indictment that carried higher sentences.
12
              MR. PERLMAN: Correct, which would have sentences
13
    within the quideline range he faces.
14
              THE COURT: I understand.
15
                         Including a 924(c) count.
              MR. DUNN:
16
              THE COURT:
                         Okay.
17
                         I'm ready to go forward today, your
              MR. DUNN:
18
            I've reviewed the presentence report with my client
19
    in the past. I've written a letter to the Court. Although
20
    he understood the leadership issues at the time of the plea,
21
    he's challenging that and he wishes to submit in support of
22
    that an affidavit of Mr. Lopez, the lead defendant in the
23
    case, Lucencia (ph) Placencia, which basically states that
    Lucencia Placencia swears that he's under full faculty, with
24
25
    no pressure to me, nor bribery.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"I solemnly do declare that at no moment Mario Placencia was my partner, nor my right-hand man. I was the only leader of the Lopez organization. Mr. Mario Placencia was just another employee, the same as other workers in the Lopez organization." I've shown that to Mr. Perlman. was challenging the four-point increase for leadership role, which would have brought him down, if it was granted. his level was I think 41 points in the presentence report, it would have brought him to 210 to 262, I believe. Is that right? That's correct. MR. PERLMAN: That would be in a range below the 240 MR. DUNN: months of the statute. The government in their letter obviously opposes that. What is the proof about his role? THE COURT: MR. PERLMAN: Your Honor, the proof would come from a number of cooperating witnesses, from the agents --THE COURT: What would they say? They would say that the organization MR. PERLMAN: was run by Lopez and that this defendant, Mr. Placencia, served as his right-hand man, in that he was responsible for enforcing discipline and a number of other supervisory, organizational roles. He would collect the money, ensuring that the proper crack -- in other words, that the crack was at each location where it should have been, general

organizational materials. 1 Your Honor, even were your Honor to discount his 2 3 role as organizer or leader and even took a point or even two points off to a manager or supervisor, his guideline 4 range would still be, depending on how many points you 5 adjusted the guidelines, above 240 months, or if you were to 6 7 remove the role completely in a guideline range that 8 encompassed the 240 months. 9 THE COURT: Let me hear from the defendant. 10 you wish to speak? 11 MR. DUNN: Your Honor, if I could just address one 12 more thing. 13 THE COURT: Yes. 14 MR. DUNN: I had also made two applications for a 15 downward departure. Did you want to address that first? 16 THE COURT: Yeah, let's address that first because 17 I don't have to deal with this if it's not going to make any 18 difference in my sentence. 19 MR. DUNN: Sure. 20 THE COURT: That's why I wanted to move on. 21 MR. DUNN: One was extraordinary family 22 circumstances, which the government opposes, and I 23 understand that it's something that's rarely given. He was 24 living with a woman who was later arrested with him, a 25 codefendant in the case and had a child while he was

incarcerated.

He had also been supporting his family, his mother and some siblings while he was out on the street. As a result of his incarceration, it's clearly impacted on his young child. He had even refused to see the child for a period of time, so it's clearly impacting on the child and on the mother of the child. So I'd ask that the Court downwardly depart based on extraordinary family circumstances.

Also, as reflected in the presentence report, Mr. Placencia, before his involvement in this case, was riding a motorcycle and was seriously injured and spent two weeks in the hospital, a period of time where he was basically unconscious or in a coma. Then he eventually came out of it. It's his position that that impacted on his becoming involved in this case.

Clearly I'm aware that the guideline for diminished capacity states that this application cannot be made when there is violence in the case and Mr. Placencia was charged with 924(c) and also, as part of the plea agreement, there was a two-point increase for use of a weapon. So it would seem that that's not applicable, that he can do that.

So what I argue to the Court in the alternative, and I'm not sure if I'm steady ground on this, is that as a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

combination of factors with the extraordinary family circumstances, taking into account this head injury that he received and how it impacted possibly on his involvement in this case, I would ask for a downward departure. THE COURT: Do you wish to speak, Mr. Placencia? THE DEFENDANT: Just that my father died, my brother died, too. I don't know how I'm going to be able to receive this kind of sentence. THE COURT: Well, you pled quilty. They told you about this sentence. Essentially, you've gotten -- if you had gone to trial and had been convicted, you would have faced a much more severe sentence. In other words, you've gotten a substantial benefit from pleading quilty and that's presumably why you pled quilty, because the risk of being convicted was great and if you were convicted, the sentence would be much more severe. What would the guidelines have been if he had been convicted? MR. PERLMAN: The guidelines would have been 44, which is a life sentence. THE COURT: You would have been facing a potential life sentence here if you hadn't pled quilty and had gone to trial and been convicted. One of the problems here is that the crack guidelines are very high and there are large

quantities involved. In effect, they've been brought down

significantly by your plea of guilty to a charge that carries a maximum sentence of 240 months.

2.3

It's a long time, I know, but you would have been much worse off if you had gone to trial and been convicted. There is a chance you could be acquitted but I'm not in a position to evaluate that. I assume you and your lawyer evaluated the chances of an acquittal here and the likelihood of a potential life sentence if you were convicted.

It doesn't matter to me whether or not we adjust the guidelines down by four levels because I would give the same sentence. That is, if we reduced it by four levels, it would be 210 to 262 and I'd essentially pick the midpoint between the two. I don't know if it's exactly the midpoint but that's the substance of it.

I'm sentence the defendant to the custody of the Attorney General for a period of 240 months, with a period of supervised release, with a condition that he participate in such substance abuse treatment as directed by the Probation Department. The treatment may include outpatient or residential treatment, as determined by the Probation Department.

The defendant shall abstain from all illegal substances and/or alcohol. He shall participate in mental health treatment as directed by the Probation Department.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

counts.

With regard to both programs, the defendant shall contribute to the costs of services rendered or psychotropic medications provided by a copayment or full payment, in an amount to be determined by the Probation Department, based on the defendant's ability to pay and/or the availability of third-party payment. I impose a \$100 special assessment and also another condition of probation is if the defendant is deported upon the completion of his sentence, that he not return to the United States illegally. You should know you get credit for time served. You're already done two and a half years and there's another 15% off for good behavior. 15% of twenty years is three So with time off for good behavior, it's really a years. seventeen-year sentence, not twenty, and you've already done two and a half. So what you're looking at is about fourteen and a half years. If you look at that and understand that you could have had a life sentence if you had gone to trial and were convicted, you'll see that although it's a lot of time, it's the best of a bad situation. THE CLERK: Judge, supervision? You didn't give a number. THE COURT: Oh, sorry. The term of supervision is three years. MR. PERLMAN: The government moves to dismiss open

```
1
              THE COURT: The open counts are dismissed.
              THE CLERK: The defendant has waived his right to
2
3
    appeal.
              MR. PERLMAN:
                             Yes.
4
              MR. DUNN: Your Honor, even though my client has
 5
    waived his right to appeal, he may want to file notice of
6
 7
    appeal anyway. I would just ask, based on his financial
    condition, if he can be determined indigent so that he
 8
 9
    doesn't have to pay for a docket number.
10
                          Yes. Why don't you just go ahead and
              THE COURT:
    file a notice of appeal for him and let it be dealt with by
11
12
    the Court of Appeals.
13
              MR. DUNN: Fine, your Honor.
14
              THE COURT: So you'll file it?
15
              MR. DUNN:
                         I'm going to file.
16
              THE COURT:
                         Okay.
17
              MR. DUNN:
                         Thank you, Judge.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter. ELIZABETH BARRON June 11, 2009